

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,258	01/06/2004	Andreas Hund	20793/0204560-US0	4048
7278 7579 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			EXAMINER	
			CONSILVIO, MARK J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/752 258 HUND ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Mark Consilvio 2872 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2872

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Status of Claims

Claims 1-23 were previously rejected and claim 1 is newly amended. Claims 1-23 are currently pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 9, 10, 12-15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawasaki (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0030801).

With respect to claim 1, Kawasaki discloses a tube for a microscope, comprising: an adaptation interface (i.e. 8) configured to convey a light beam from the microscope along an optical axis; a rotatably disposed operator interface (OC); a beam-deflecting device (P1, M1, M2) including a beam-splitting device (i.e. M1 in par. 85), the beam-splitting device being disposed at a first distance (i.e. 104.113mm) from the optical axis; and a rotatably disposed beam deflecting unit (M3) disposed on a side of the optical axis opposite the optical interface, a rotation of the operator interface (OC) being constrainedly coupled to a rotation of the beam-deflecting unit (M3); wherein the beam-deflecting device (P1, M1, M2) is configured to deflect at least a portion of the light beam in a direction of the beam-deflecting unit (M3); wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/752,258

Art Unit: 2872

optical axis is defined by a path of the light beam between the microscope and the beam deflecting device (i.e. between OB and P1); and wherein an axis of rotation (P) of the beam deflecting unit is disposed at a second distance from the optical axis (i.e. the distance from P1 to P), the second distance being greater than the first distance (i.e. greater than 104.113mm) (figs. 1 and 6).

With respect to claim 2, Kawasaki discloses the beam-deflecting device includes a deflecting prism (P1) (fig. 6).

With respect to claim 3, Kawasaki discloses the deflecting prism (P1) is configured to deflect by 90 degrees the light beam coming from the adaptation interface (fig. 6).

With respect to claim 9, Kawasaki discloses optical properties of the beam-deflecting device (P1, M1, M2) are selectable so that a length of an optical path of light beam in the tube is adaptable (par. 85).

With respect to claim 10, Kawasaki discloses at least a portion of the beam splitter device is movable into and out of a working position (par. 85).

With respect to claim 12, Kawasaki discloses the operator interface (OC) and the beamdeflecting unit (M3) are rotatable about a rotation axis (at P), the rotation axis being perpendicular to the optical axis of the light beam (fig. 6).

With respect to claim 13, Kawasaki discloses, upon a rotation of the operator interface (OC) through a first angle, the beam-deflecting unit (G2) is configured to rotate through a second angle half as large as the first angle (par. 72).

With respect to claims 14 and 15, Kawasaki discloses a lens device (G1L) disposed between the adaptation interface (8) and the beam-deflecting device (P1, M1, M2), the lens Application/Control Number: 10/752.258

Art Unit: 2872

device having a positive refractive power and the lens device being configured to convert a substantially collimated light beam into a converging light beam (pars. 71-72 and 86).

With respect to claim 19, Kawasaki discloses the operator interface (OC) includes a binocular element configured for eyepiece viewing by an operator (par. 71).

With respect to claim 20, Kawasaki discloses the beam-splitting device is configured to split-off a first portion of the light beam coming from the adaptation interface to a detector (par. 85).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-8, 11, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0030801) in view of Tandler et al. (US Patent No. 6.088.155).

With respect to claims 4-8, 11, and 21-23, Kawasaki discloses all the limitations of claim 1, 10, and 20 as stated supra and also teaches another embodiment wherein the beam deflecting device (G1) includes deflecting prisms (P1 and P2) configured to deflect by 90 degrees the light beam coming from an adaptation interface and a Bauernfeind prism (P3) attached to one of the deflecting prisms (i.e. P2) (fig. 3). Kawasaki further teaches that a beam-splitter such as a removable mirror or prism may be introduced between the beam deflecting prism and beam

deflecting unit for providing a photographing path. Kawasaki does not expressly disclose the

beam-splitting device includes a Bauernfeind prism configured to reflect therein twice the at

least a portion of the light beam and a prism cemented to the Bauernfeind prism and at least a

portion of the beam splitter device is movable into and out of a working position by a magazine

slider. However, Bauernfeind prisms cemented to additional prisms are often used in the prior

art as beam splitters to partially direct the beam path to an additional image capture device. For

example, Tandler teaches a switching device for a microscope that uses such a Bauernfeind

prism (4) attached to another prism selectively removable from the optical axis via a magazine

slider (8, 9) so that the desired transmission can be directed to an operator or image capture

device. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

skill in the art to either replace the mirror (M1) of Kawasaki with or additionally include a beam

splitting arrangement like that of Tandler since all the elements were known in the prior art, one

of ordinary skill could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods and with no

change in respective functions, and the combination would have the yielded predictable result of

a microscope that allows the image to be selectably directed to observation elements.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muchel

Kawasaki (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0030801) in view of Sato (US Patent

No. 5,519,531).

With respect to claims 16-18, Kawasaki discloses all the limitations of claim 1 as stated

supra. Though Kawasaki does not expressly disclose the all further limitations of claims 16-18,

Sato teaches an assembly is telescopic in a direction of an optical axis of a light beam and

Art Unit: 2872

includes a first lens (112) having a negative refractive power and configured to substantially collimate a light beam, a second positive lens (113), and an operator interface (103) (fig. 8). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Kawasaki and Sato to allow the assembly of Kawasaki to be telescopic as taught by Sato to allow the operator to extend the usable range of the viewer's position making the microscope more ergonomic.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/06/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Fig. 6 of Kawasaki provides a configuration that meets the claim limitations. It is noted that P1 has been chosen as the termination of the "optical axis" as the point closest to both M1 and P. Though the distance between P1 and P is not directly disclosed, it may be shown that it must be greater than 126mm. [This involves inferring that the distance between M1 and the line connecting M2 and P must be less than 39.758mm since the sides of a triangle must be shorter than its hypotenuse.]

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number:

10/752,258

Art Unit: 2872

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 7

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark Consilvio whose telephone number is (571) 272-2453. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on (571) 272-2434. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 2872

/Alessandro Amari/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2872